UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

Clear Form

CASE NO. C09 03358 HRL
STIPULATION AND TRANSMAN
ORDER SELECTING ADR PROCESS
met and conferred regarding ADR and have reached the L.R. 16-8 and ADR L.R. 3-5:
following ADR process:
on (ADR L.R. 4) on (ENE) (ADR L.R. 5) of) ely settlement conference with a Magistrate Judge is needs than any other form of ADR, must participate in an offile this form. They must instead file a Notice of Need for eal Rule 16-8 and ADR L.R. 3-5)
lantify process and provider
lentify process and provider)
sion by: ne (The deadline is 90 days from the date of the order a ADR process unless otherwise ordered.)
e
/s/ John W. Villines
Attorney for Plaintiff
// /
/s/ Aniesa Rice Attorney for Defendant

Case 5:09-cv-03358-HRL Document 11 Filed 12/23/09 Page 2 of 2

When filing this document in ECF, please be sure to use the appropriate ADR Docket Event, e.g., "Stipulation and Proposed Order Selecting Early Neutral Evaluation."

XPARXXPXOSXEXXX ORDER

Pursuant to the Stipulation above, the captioned matter is hereby referred to:

Non-binding Arbitration

Early Neutral Evaluation (ENE)

Mediation

Private ADR

Deadline for ADR session

90 days from the date of this order.

other

IT IS SO ORDERED.

Dated: December 23, 2009

HOWARD R. LLOYD

UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE